Remarks

Reconsideration is requested in view of the preceding amendments and the following remarks. Upon entry of this Amendment, claims 1, 3-9, and 41-52 are pending.

New claims 41-52 are submitted for consideration. Support for new claims 41-52 can be found at, for example, page 4, lines 3-6, page 7, lines 15-16, and the claims as filed. No new matter is introduced.

Claims 1-7 and 9 stand rejected as allegedly anticipated by Bodin, U.S. Patent 6,387,027 ("Bodin"). This rejection is traversed. The rejection of claim 2 is moot in view of the cancellation of claim 2 without prejudice. Claim 1, as amended, recites a method of organizing a search for a service provider in a wireless device storing location information. The method comprises erasing at least part of the location information associated with a recently used service provider at power down of the wireless device. Bodin does not teach or suggest such a method. Bodin teaches a method and device for avoiding repeated registration attempts on Public Land Mobile Networks (PLMNs) on which visiting is not allowed. When a mobile station attempts to register on a non-allowed PLMN, the identity of the non-allowed PLMN is written onto a list in the mobile station SIM card. By keeping a list of non-allowed PLMNs, the mobile station is prevented from repeated registration attempts on such PLMNs. According to Bodin, a GSM standard SIM card provides a list of up to four non-allowed PLMNs. Bodin provides methods for extending the length of the non-allowed PLMN list. In contrast to Bodin, claim 1 recites erasing at least part of the location information associated with a recently used service provider. The PLMNs listed by Bodin are non-allowed, and are those that are not used. Bodin does not teach or suggest erasing location information associated with a used service provider. Accordingly, claim 1 and dependent claims 3-9 and 41-52 are properly allowable over Bodin.

Page 6 of 7

MJ:mj 10/19/04 319427.doc **PATENT**

Claim 8 stands rejected as allegedly obvious from Bodin. This rejection is traversed.

Claim 8 depends from allowable claim 1, and is therefore allowable for at least this reason.

In view of the preceding, all pending claims are in condition for allowance and action to such end is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

By

Michael D. Jones

Registration No. 41,879

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 595-5300 Facsimile: (503) 228-9446